Coastal Resilience









Coastal Resilience

Nicholls R J, French J R, Penning-Rowsell E C, Carpenter S, Lazarus E, Aldabet S, Tompkins E L, Thompson C E L, Brown S, Haigh I D Townend I H, Hill C T, Waldock I, Sadler J

University of Southampton, University College London, University of Middlesex and the Channel Coastal Observatory Email: <u>i.townend@soton.ac.uk</u> or <u>cth@geodata.soton.ac.uk</u>

Resilience Index, thereby providing a way of measuring progress towards enhancing







• Issues related to the data available and future data requirements are discussed in: https://doi.org/10.1139/anc-2020-0023



A *Resilience Index* (RI), is obtained by summing the Preference Scores i.e. from: $RI = \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j P_j$, where $w_{j', j=1...N}$ are weights assigned to the Performance Measures and $\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j = 1$.

Figure 2: Steps used to calculate a Resilience Index from a set of Metrics, by first converting the raw metrics to scores, combining them into performance measures and using the stakeholder weightings to establish Preference Scores, which are summed to give the Resilience Index.

Methodology

The *Coastal Resilience Model* was one of the outputs from the UK Climate Resilience Programme, funded by UKRI. The 'CoastRes' project examined how an operational interpretation of resilience might be applied to the coast, building on existing approaches to Shoreline Management. With a stated objective of seeking to enhance coastal resilience the project explored how to quantify resilience to reflect the contributions made by the social, economic an environmental dimensions of resilience. The overall process is summarised in Figure 1. This shows how the raw data (Metrics) are first mapped over the range of the data, such that all data values can be given a Score between 0 and 100. This conversion can be linear or bi-linear in the current model and the user can adjust the change point of a bi-linear mapping. These metrics are combined to give a performance measure, using a set of weights that reflect the contribution each metric is thought to make towards the various measure of performance. Each performance measure is intended to reflect progress to at least one of the objectives that collectively contribute to the aim of enhancing resilience. The creation of metric scores and measure weights requires detailed consideration of each metric and the underlying data. This aspect is therefore the subject of ongoing research to identify a more rigorous methodology. The option to change these settings provides the ability to explore the relative importance of the various metrics. The way in which the Performance Measures are combined to give a Resilience Index, also makes use of weightings. Applying a weighting to a Performance Measure results in a Performance Score. This reflects the Users/Stakeholders view of the contribution that a specific Performance Measure makes towards the delivery of Coastal Resilience. The resulting Performance Scores are summed to give the Resilience Index. The steps in the calculation are summarised in Figure 2.

Figure 3:Coastal Resilience Portal <u>http://coastalresilience.uk/coastal_resilience/</u> - developed by Ian Waldock at GeoData

Acknowledgements: Development of the Coastal Resilience Portal was funded by the Strategic Priorities Fund, UK Climate Resilience Programme, Impact Funding and the *Coastal Resilience Model* was developed as part with a UK Research & Innovation award NE/ S016651/1 under the same programme. The project team included, University of Southampton, University College London, University of Middlesex and the Channel Coastal Observatory. Project partners included ABPmer, the Coastal Group Network, National trust, RSPB, Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust, National Flood Forum, Natural England and Network Rail. The East Solent Coastal Partnership and the Scarborough District Council hosted our regional workshops.

